

TESTING THE HYPOTHESIS OF AN UNKNOWN PLANET USING THE MOID ANALYSIS

R. A. Guliyev^{*}, *A. S. Guliyev*

*Shamakhy Astrophysical Observatory named after N.Tusi,
Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences, Shamakhy region, Azerbaijan*

MOID values for 1156 long-periodic comets with aphelion distances greater than 250 AU and slightly hyperbolic orbits relative to the assumed planet X are calculated. It was found that in 51 of them, these values do not exceed the radii of the spheres of influence of the planets. This is approximately 25 percent more than the background value and cannot be considered random. The obtained result can be considered as an additional argument in favor of the hypothesis under discussion.

Keywords: comets–planets–MOID.

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is a logical continuation of the paper that shows the existence of families of long-period and periodic comets of giant planets. Recall that in the cited works, an array of MOID (minimal orbital intersection distance) values was analyzed and their redundancy relative to giant planets was proved. In particular, [5] shows that the number of long-period comets with small MOID values is 1.4-1.7 times higher than the background values.

In this paper the question will be studied for the assumed Planet X with parameters:

$$a = 339 \text{ AU}(\pm 34), e = 0.16(\pm 0.02), \omega = 57^\circ(\pm 15^\circ), \Omega = 272.7^\circ(\pm 3^\circ), i = 86^\circ(\pm 2^\circ). \quad (1)$$

The hypothesis of the existence of such a planet belongs to one of the authors. The most complete review of the hypothesis and its main arguments is given in [4].

^{*} E-mail: rustamdb@gmail.com

2. DATA USED AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To analyze the MOID values of known comets relative to the putative planet, the algorithms given in [3] are used.

In this task, the values of the radius of the sphere of action, which are determined by the formula [2] are used as the limit distances for analyzing MOID values for the planet X:

$$h = a_p \sqrt[5]{\left(\frac{M_p}{M_S}\right)^2} \quad (2)$$

Where, a – planet's semi-major axis, M_p - mass of planet, M_S – mass of Sun.

The subjects of this study are 1156 slightly hyperbolic and long-period comets with aphelion distances greater than 250 AU. The compiled list covers comets up to 2020. The last comet in it is C/2009 Y1. Their data is grabbed from JPL HORIZONS.

As an example for testing, we give the MOID values of two comets (elliptical and hyperbolic) with respect to (1):

$$\text{C/2012 L1; } r = 0.48 \text{ and C/1980 E1; } r = 1.14$$

3. CALCULATION RESULTS

The Guliyev hypothesis assumes that the mass of an unknown planet does not exceed 10 earth masses. This means that the analysis should select comets with MOID values up to 6 AU.

As a result of calculations, 51 comets with this characteristic were found in the considered list. Their list is given in table 1. Comets are characterized by relatively large perihelion distances (aver. 2.200 AU). Among them, objects with straight orbits dominate (31 vs. 20). 10 of them have slightly hyperbolic eccentricities.

The table also shows the values of the latitudes of the perihelion (B') of comets relative to the motion of the planet plane. 16 of the 51 values of this parameter are within 30 degrees of the plane. Consequently, the small MOID values of the selected comets are not always associated with this factor.

Table 1 shows the calculated values of the inclinations (I') of the selected comets relative to the plane of motion of planet X. There is a slight dominance of the values of this parameter near 0° and 180° . In particular, the regions $I' < 17^\circ$ and $I' > 163^\circ$ correspond to 8 and 5 values. Although their number exceeds the random norm, however, this does not mean that the assumed excess of MOID values is associated with this factor.

Table 1. Data on 51 long-period comets and calculated MOID values

comet	q (AU)	e	i	Ω	ω	MOID	B'	I'
C/1911 O1	0.489	0.997	33.81	294.21	153	0.28	0.5	55.4
C/2010 A4	2.738	0.99	96.73	346.69	271.69	0.36	-7.18	75.6
C/2019 J2	1.727	0.997	105.14	25.47	98.7	0.42	5.63	113.9
C/2012 L1	2.262	0.997	87.22	271.77	140.29	0.48	0.69	1
C/2008 E3	5.531	0.998	105.08	105.67	218.08	0.49	-4.21	162.3
C/2012 V2	1.455	0.997	67.18	262.17	217.32	0.73	3.57	21.1
C/2011 C1	0.883	0.997	16.83	192.44	84.47	0.82	-3.6	83.3
C/1997 A1	3.157	1.002	145.07	135.77	40.01	0.96	10.23	117.7
C/1850 Q1	0.566	1	40.06	208.11	243.2	1	-7.97	70.4
C/1980 E1	3.364	1.057	1.66	114.56	135.09	1.14	22.11	87.7
C/2005 N1	1.125	0.998	51.18	3.24	80.04	1.15	-6.04	88.8
C/2012 H1	1.296	1	27.74	125.98	137.99	1.2	5.29	109
C/1914 M1	3.747	1.003	71.04	271.51	14	1.21	-3.4	15.1
C/2010 B1	2.941	0.999	101.98	277.21	211.52	1.24	-3.48	16.7
C/2010 D2	3.917	1	59.17	314.89	129.12	1.54	10.69	48.8
C/2016 M1	2.212	1	91	92.19	209.77	1.77	-1.79	177.1
C/2004 U1	2.659	0.999	130.62	112.55	20.13	1.85	8.12	138.7
C/1925 F2	1.633	0.995	26.98	7.04	259.28	1.91	4.27	89
C/2013 E2	1.414	0.997	21.85	182.49	95.84	2.03	-5.1	86.2
C/2002 O4	0.776	1.001	73.13	321.04	105.94	2.16	5.02	50.1
C/1954 O2	3.87	1.001	100.39	265.34	144.67	2.24	2.9	15.6
C/2006 K1	1.752	0.992	144.26	243.81	143.46	2.26	4.23	62.6
C/1885 N1	2.507	1	80.62	93.9	178.52	2.29	-1.82	166.6
C/2014 G1	5.467	0.992	165.64	337.94	77.02	2.38	11.02	88
C/1955 G1	4.496	1.003	123.93	321.33	73.75	2.49	10.78	60
C/2016 A5	2.947	0.998	40.32	136.22	321.61	2.51	10.61	114.2
C/2003 T4	0.85	1	86.76	93.9	181.65	2.61	-2.36	172.6
C/1748 K1	0.625	1	67.08	36.64	245.67	2.66	4.51	120
C/1998 K3	3.547	1	160.21	307.96	47.84	2.8	10.51	77.9
C/1846 B1	1.481	0.992	47.43	113.27	337.99	2.93	4.92	129.7
C/1988 F1	1.174	0.998	62.81	288.77	326.51	3.06	-2.06	28.5
C/1988 J1	1.174	0.998	62.81	288.76	326.52	3.08	-2.05	28.5
C/1898 V1	2.285	1	22.5	97.24	4.64	3.31	9.88	108.6
C/1890 O1	0.764	1	63.35	15.83	85.66	3.44	5.44	100.8
C/2007 J1	5.368	1.002	89.84	65.52	93.7	3.67	5.59	153.5
C/1871 L1	1.083	1	101.98	213.7	96.32	3.7	4.62	59.8
C/1822 N1	1.145	0.996	127.34	95.24	181.11	3.73	-2.88	146.3
C/1920 X1	1.148	0.994	22.03	108.83	340.89	3.96	-1.18	107.2

Table 2. continuation table 1

C/2004 G1	1.202	1	114.49	228.38	110.49	3.98	5.66	50.8
C/1932 M1	1.647	1.001	78.39	246.09	69.79	4.03	2.33	26.6
C/1975 X1	0.864	1.001	93.96	281.49	215.47	4.61	3.42	12.5
C/1897 U1	1.357	1	69.61	33.49	65.91	4.9	-7.03	118
C/2006 S3	5.131	1.003	166.03	38.37	140.13	5.18	14.75	102
C/1980 L1	2.584	1	73.15	279.52	334.96	5.24	-1.61	15.1
C/1785 A1	1.143	1	70.24	267.21	205.63	5.26	2.71	16.5
C/1877 G1	0.95	0.999	121.15	318.33	63.12	5.27	2.26	56.5
C/1958 R1	1.628	1	61.26	323.78	100.74	5.34	-4.93	55.2
C/2010 J3	2.249	1	14.63	101.07	180.37	5.37	-9.67	100.6
C/1954 M2	0.746	1	88.54	75.57	254.73	5.59	-0.84	163
C/2014 M1	5.545	1	160.16	234.77	337.63	5.63	15.12	78
C/1975 T1	1.604	0.997	91.61	278.68	246.24	5.77	-2.17	8.8

In addition, table 1 shows the calculated inclinations (I') of the selected comets relative to the motion of planet X plane. There is a slight dominance of the values of this parameter near 0° and 180° . In particular, the regions $I' < 17^\circ$ and $I' > 163^\circ$ correspond to 8 and 5 values. Although their number exceeds the random norm, however, this does not mean that the assumed excess of MOID values is associated with this factor.

The possibility of determining the randomness measure of such comets was discussed in [6]. For a uniform or random distribution of MOID values, the number of MOIDs within an interval of 6 AU should be:

$$N = 2 \times 1156 \times 6/339 = 40.9$$

If the interval is limited to 5.77 AU (the extreme value in table 1), there should be 39 of them. This means that there is a significant advantage of the real value of MOIDs over the expected one (by about 25 percent).

4. CONCLUSION

Analysis of the MOID values of 1156 long-period comets relative to the assumed planet with orbital parameters (1) and identification of 51 comets among them allows us to draw a conclusion about the reality of the existence of such a planet. The obtained data can be considered as another argument in favor of the discussed hypothesis.

REFERENCES

1. Abalakin, E.P., Grebenikov 1976, in 1976 book Abalakin, V., E.P., A. Grebenikov, E. 1976, *Spravochnoe rukovodstvo po nebesnoj mehanike i astrodinamike*, Nauka.
2. Danby, J. 1992, 'Fundamentals of celestial mechanics', Richmond: Willman-Bell pp. 352–353.
3. Fouchard, Rickman, Froeschl, Valsecchi 2017, 'Distribution of long-period comets: comparison between simulations and observations', *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 604, A24.
4. Guliyev, A., Guliyev, R. 2019, 'System of long-period comets as indicator of the large planetary body on the periphery of the solar system', *Acta Astronomica* 69(2), 177–203.
5. Guliyev, A., Guliyev, R. 2020, 'On the existence of long-period comet families of the giant planets', *Astronomy Letters* 46(10), 702–704.
6. Guliyev, A., Guliyev, R., Gasimov, A. 2021, 'On the question of families of periodic comets of giant planets (mold analysis)', *Astronomical Circular*.